

MICHAEL D. RAWLINS, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 5467  
**DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR**  
10785 West Twain Avenue, #200  
Las Vegas, NV 89135  
Telephone: (702) 870-6060  
Facsimile: (702) 870-6090  
Email: [mrawlins@djplaw.com](mailto:mrawlins@djplaw.com)  
*Attorney for Defendant*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PRO-TECT SECURITY SERVICES, LLC,	)	
a Nevada Limited Liability Company,	)	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
INTEGRATED SYSTEM	)	
IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, INC. d/b/a	)	
SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE, an	)	
Arizona Corporation.	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE FEDERAL RULE 26(f)**  
**CONFERENCE (THIRD REQUEST)**

Plaintiff Pro-Tect Security Services, LLC by and through its counsel of record, Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq. and Adam Wax, Esq. of the law firm Kravitz, Schnitzer & Johnson, Chtd., and Integrated Systems Improvement Services Inc. d/b/a Special Intelligence Services, by and through its counsel of record, Michael D. Rawlins, Esq. of the law firm Durham Jones & Pinegar, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Federal Rule 26(f) conference deadline for an additional 30 days, to November 27, 2017.

This request is made to allow counsel to continue to investigate a possible conflict of interest. Plaintiff's principal has used defendant's counsel's firm for legal services, and plaintiff's counsel has raised the issue whether defendant's counsel has a conflict of interest. Counsel for the Parties have exchanged several correspondences in

1 hopes of reaching a resolution regarding whether defendant's counsel has a conflict of  
2 interest as the parties would like to resolve this issue prior to a Rule 26(f) conference.

3 Recently, plaintiff's counsel determined that the Complaint should be amended to  
4 substitute Trade Show Services, Ltd. dba Pro-Tect Security Services for the original  
5 plaintiff Pro-Tect Security Services, LLC. Defendants have consented to the  
6 substitution, and the substitution requires the parties to re-evaluate the conflicts issues, as  
7 a new party is now involved.

8 This is the third request. This request is necessary as Counsel have concluded  
9 there is a need to continue the conference deadline from October 27, 2017, to November  
10 27, 2017 to allow the parties to further explore and to make informed decisions about the  
11 conflicts issue. The parties believe that sharing of information and consultation with  
12 conflicts counsel is prudent at this time, which is currently ongoing. If the parties are  
13 unable to come to an agreement, it is possible that a motion for disqualification will be  
14 filed by plaintiff.

15 This extension is made for good cause and not for purposes of delay.

16 **IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.**

17 KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON

DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR

18 By /s/ Adam J. Wax, Esq.

By /s/ Michael D. Rawlins, Esq.

19 Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq

Michael D. Rawlins

20 NV Bar No.395

NV Bar No. 5467

21 Adam J. Wax, Esq.

10785 W. Twain Ave., Suite 200

22 NV Bar No. 12126

Las Vegas, NV 89135

8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200

*Attorneys for Defendant*

Las Vegas, NV 89123

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 **Dated: October 23, 2017**

25   
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE